

The Three-Step Master Equation: Class of Parametric Stationary Solutions

Haret C. Rosu,^{1,3} Marco A. Reyes,² and F. Valencia¹

Received January 27, 2005; accepted March 31, 2005

We examine the three-step master equation from the standpoint of the general solution of the associated discrete Riccati equation. We report by this means stationary master solutions depending on a free constant parameter, denoted by D , that should be negative in order to assure the positivity of the solution. These solutions correspond to different discrete Markov processes characterized by the value of D , which is related to specific renormalizations of the transition rates of the chain of states.

KEY WORDS: master equation; Markov processes; discrete Riccati equation.

In general, the three-step population master equation is used by physicists in many studies of diffusion processes of microscopic particles on one-dimensional lattices (Derényi *et al.*, 1998; Jauslin, 1990; Reyes and Rosu, 1999; Rosu and Reyes, 1995), but this simple discrete equation has extensive and interesting applications in other fields as well, most recently to Hubbell's neutral theory in ecology (McKane *et al.*, 2000; Volkov *et al.*, 2003). In the following, we shall use a population interpretation. It reads

$$\frac{dp_n}{dt} = d_{n+1}p_{n+1} - \sigma_n p_n + b_{n-1}p_{n-1}, \quad \sigma_n = b_n + d_n, \quad (1)$$

where b_n is the transition rate for the birth-type jump $n \rightarrow n + 1$ and d_n is the death-type rate for the backward jump $n \rightarrow n - 1$, while p_n is the probability to have n individuals at the instant t . Employing the initial conditions $b_{-1} = d_0 = 0$, the known stationary solution is (Gardiner, 1985)

$$P_n^{\text{st}} = P_0 \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_j}{d_{j+1}} \right), \quad (2)$$

¹Potosinian Institute of Science and Technology, Apdo Postal 3-74 Tangamanga, 78231 San Luis Potosí, Mexico.

²Instituto de Física, Universidad de Guanajuato, Apdo Postal E-143, Leon, Gto., Mexico.

³To whom correspondence should be addressed at Potosinian Institute of Science and Technology, Apdo Postal 3-74 Tangamanga, 78231 San Luis Potosí, Mexico; e-mail: hcr@ipicyt.edu.mx.

where P_0 is a scaling constant that through the probabilistic normalization condition can be written as (see, Gillespie, 1993)

$$P_0 = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_j}{d_{j+1}} \right)^{-1} .$$

We proceed now to show that stationary solutions which are different from Equation (2) that are based on the general solution of the discrete Riccati equation connected to the master equation can be obtained. Indeed, performing the transformation

$$y_{n-1} = \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n} + \frac{1 - \sigma_n}{b_{n-1}}, \quad n \neq 0, \tag{3}$$

in Equation (1) leads to the following discrete Riccati equation

$$y_n = b_{n-1}y_n y_{n-1} - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n}(1 - \sigma_{n+1})y_{n-1} + d_{n+1} + \frac{1 - \sigma_{n+1}}{b_n}, \tag{4}$$

with the particular solution

$$y_n^0 = \frac{1 - b_{n+1}}{b_n}. \tag{5}$$

However, it is easy to check that one can write a more general solution of Equation (4) as follows

$$y_n^1 = y_n^0 + \frac{f_n}{D - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f_k b_{k+1}}{d_{k+2}}}, \quad f_n = \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{b_i d_{i+2}}{b_{i+1}^2}, \tag{6}$$

where D is a real constant.

Using simple discrete algebra, one can obtain the recurrence relationship

$$P_{n+1} = P_n \left(y_n + \frac{\sigma_{n+1} - 1}{b_n} \right)^{-1}, \tag{7}$$

leading to stationary solutions of the following form

$$P_n(D) = \tilde{P}_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_i}{d_{i+1}} \left(1 + \frac{f_i b_i / d_{i+1}}{|D| + \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{f_j b_{j+1}}{d_{j+2}} - \frac{f_i b_i}{d_{i+1}}} \right), \tag{8}$$

where the normalization constant reads

$$\tilde{P}_0 = \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_i}{d_{i+1}} \left(1 + \frac{f_i b_i / d_{i+1}}{|D| + \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{f_j b_{j+1}}{d_{j+2}} - \frac{f_i b_i}{d_{i+1}}} \right) \right]^{-1}. \tag{9}$$

Examining Equation (8) with normalization (9), we first notice that for $D \rightarrow -\infty$ we recover the known case of stationary master solution with the common normalization. In addition, we notice that the factor

$$\left(1 + \frac{f_i b_i / d_{i+1}}{|D| + \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{f_j b_{j+1}}{d_{j+2}} - \frac{f_i b_i}{d_{i+1}}} \right)$$

looks like a renormalization factor for the transition rates of the original stationary Markov process. A reasonable interpretation of D depends on the specific application and in general is related to initial conditions, boundary conditions or external applied fields. In addition, for a physical solution one requires positivity implying

$$\frac{b_i}{d_{i+1}} \geq \frac{b_{i-1}}{d_i}.$$

This is a strong condition and in particular cases it could be relaxed.

- (a) The most trivial case is $b_k = d_k = \text{const} < 1, k = 0, \dots, n$. This implies $P_k^{st} = P_0$; the Riccati solution is $y_k = b^{-1} - 1$. The D -dependent solution will be

$$P_k = \tilde{P} \left(1 + \frac{k}{D} \right), \tag{10}$$

and with the normalization explicitly calculated

$$P_k = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{D}}{1 + \frac{n}{2D}} \right). \tag{11}$$

A plot of P_k for various values of the parameter D is shown in Fig. 1.

- (b) For the asymmetric case we take $b_n = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \epsilon), d_n = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon), q = \frac{1-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} < 1, n = 0, \dots, N$, and the parametric solution reads

$$P_n = \tilde{P}_0 q^{-n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1-q)(D+q^i) + 1 - q^i}{(1-q)D + 1 - q^i}, \tag{12}$$

and the normalization constant can be easily written down from Equation (9). Plots for this case are displayed in Fig. 2.

- (c) Various other cases are presented in Figs. 3–5 for exponential parametrizations of the jump rates.

In summary, we report one-parameter stationary solutions of the three-step master equation that are based on the corresponding discrete Riccati general solution. In the continuous case, the mathematical method we employ here corresponds to Mielnik’s procedure in supersymmetric quantum mechanics (Mielnik, 1984). The parameter of these solutions could be fixed in applications by initial/boundary




Fig. 1. $P_k(-1000)$, $P_k(-2000)$ and $P_k(-\infty)$ for $b_i = d_i = \text{const} < 1$ and $n = 20$.

conditions or through external perturbations of the underlying birth-death Markov process.

It is well known that the stationary solution of the master equation of a discrete Markov process is uniquely defined if the process contains only one class of ergodic states. In this case, the stationary solution does not depend on the initial condition. The discrete Riccati mathematical procedure leads to modified transition rates and consequently to different stationary solutions that belong to different master equations. Modifying the rates at the ends of the chain of states corresponds to a probability current flow through the system, i.e., to a driven system. Thus, the physical interpretation of this class of parametric master solutions is that they are a specific type of current-carrying solutions that are important non-equilibrium steady states in many mesoscopic and macroscopic systems, such as Becker-Döring nucleation processes (see for example Wattis, 2004), or superconductivity, where as stated by Geller (1996), “it is now understood that supercurrent-carrying states are in fact, metastable *non-equilibrium states* . . . with an extremely long lifetime.” The later states are essential for the tunable supercurrent of Josephson junction technology (Baselmans *et al.*, 1999).




Fig. 2. $P_n(-4)$ (—); $P_n(-40)$ (···); $P_n(-\infty)$ (-·-·), all of them for $\epsilon = 0.02$.




Fig. 3. $P_n(-4)$ (—); $P_n(-4000)$ (···); $P_n(-\infty)$ (-·-·) for $b_i = 0.1 + \exp[-0.12 i]$ and $d_i = 0.1 + \exp[-0.15 i]$.




Fig. 4. $P_n(-4)$ (—); $P_n(-4000)$ (···); $P_n(-\infty)$ (-·-), for $b_i = \exp[-0.12 i^{1/2}]$ and $d_i = \exp[-0.15 i^{1/2}]$.




Fig. 5. $P_n(-4)$ (—); $P_n(-4000)$ (···); $P_n(-\infty)$ (-·-), for $b_i = 0.01 + \exp[-0.15 i]$ and $d_i = 0.01 + \exp[-0.12 i]$.

If, for example, we place us in a population (ecology) context, the difference with respect to the original master equation is already at the level of the d_0 rate. For a finite D the rate d_0 is not zero. Thus, for positive d_0 , one can interpret this rate as an initial immigration rate, while for negative values as an initial emigration rate.

REFERENCES

- Baselmans, J. J. A., Morpurgo, A. F., Van Wees, B. J., and Klapwijk, T. M. (1999). *Superlattices and Microstructures* **25**, 973.
- Derényi, I., Lee, C., and Barabási, A. L. (1998). *Physical Review Letters* **80**, 1473
- Gardiner, C. W. (1985). *Handbook of Stochastic Methods* Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Geller, M. R. (1996). *Physical Review B* **53**, 9550.
- Gillespie, D. T. (1993). *American Journal of Physics* **61**, 595.
- Jauslin, H. R. (1990). *Physical Review A* **41**, 3407
- McKane, A., Alonso, D., and Solé, R. V. (2000). *Physical Review E* **62**, 8466.
- Mielnik, B. (1984). *Journal of Mathematics and Physics* **25**, 3387.
- Reyes, M. A. and Rosu, H. C. (1999). *Nuovo Cimento B* **114**, 717.
- Rosu, H. C. and Reyes, M. (1995). *Physical Review E* **51**, 5112.
- Volkov, I., Banavar, J. R., Hubbell, S. P., and Maritan, A. (2003). *Nature* **424**, 1035.
- Wattis, J. A. D. (2004). *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **37**, 7823 and references therein.